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Abstract. Under the plenary power the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature has ruled to maintain current usage of the names Touit G.R. Gray, 1855 and Prosopeia Bonaparte, 1854 through the suppression of the earlier but little-used, taxonomically ambiguous name Pyrrhulopsis Reichenbach, 1850.
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Ruling

(1) The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature hereby uses its plenary power to suppress the generic name Pyrrhulopsis Reichenbach, 1850 for the purposes of the Principle of Priority but not for those of the Principle of Homonymy;
(2) The name Touit G.R. Gray, 1855 (gender: masculine), type species Psittacus huetii Temminck, 1830 by original designation, is hereby placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology;
(3) The name Prosopeia Bonaparte, 1854 (gender: feminine), type species Coracopsis personata G.R. Gray, 1848 by monotypy, is hereby placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology;
(4) The name huetii Temminck, 1830, as published in the binomen Psittacus huetii (specific name of the type species of Touit G.R. Gray, 1855), is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology;
(5) The name personata G.R. Gray, 1848, as published in the binomen Coracopsis personata (specific name of the type species of Prosopeia Bonaparte, 1854), is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology;
(6) The name Pyrrhulopsis Reichenbach, 1850, as suppressed in (1) above, is hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology.

History of Case 3640

An application to use the plenary power to maintain the current usage of the names Touit G.R. Gray, 1855 and Prosopeia Bonaparte, 1854 was received from Richard Schodde (Australian National Wildlife Collection, CSIRO Ecosystem Sciences, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia), Walter J. Bock (Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York City, New York, U.S.A.), Dick Watling (Environment Consultants Fiji, Suva, Fiji) and José Fernando Pacheco (Comité Brasileiro de Registros Ornitológicos,
Sociedade Brasileira de Ornitologia, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) on 28 August 2013. After correspondence the Case was published in BZN 70(4): 245–248 on 20 December 2013 (Schodde et al., 2013). The title, abstract and keywords of the Case were published on the Commission’s website. A comment in support of the application was published in BZN 71(1): 39 on 31 March 2014 (Dickinson & Gregory, 2014).

The Case was sent for vote on 1 September 2015 (VP 17). A greater than two-thirds majority of Commissioners voted FOR the Case (21 For, 0 Against).

Decision of the Commission
At the close of the voting period on 1 December 2015 the votes were as follows:
Negative votes – none.
Fautin, Ng, Patterson and Štyss were on leave of absence.

Original descriptions
The following are the original descriptions to the entries on either an Official List or an Index in the ruling given in the present Opinion:

*huëtii*, Psittacus, Temminck, 1830: text to pl. 491 in livraison 83.
*Prosopeia* Bonaparte, 1854: 153.
*Pyrrhulops* Reichenbach, 1850: 82.
*Touit* G.R. Gray, 1855: 89.
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